Throughput of Wireless Relay Networks via Minimum Evacuation Times and Index Coding.

Constantinos Fragiadakis Dept. of Computer and Telecommunications Engineering University of Thessaly e-mail: kofragia@inf.uth.gr

Thesis Advisors: Georgios Paschos Leandros Tassiulas

Wireless Network Coding:

- N sources and receivers '1-1' paired in flows
- One hop network with relay between
- Probability that transmission of source i is overheard by receiver j≠i
- Maximize throughput















•Both receivers decode in one slot instead of two.

•But how does the relay know what packets were overheard?

Two models of feedback:

ACK



Nodes report each overhearing (send ACK) Relay learns which packets were overheard

٠

٠

NACK



- · No ACKs
- · Relay XORs blindly
- If there is a decoding failure the receiver sends NACK

Pros & Cons of feedback methods:

ACK



No decoding failures (Perfect knowledge of overhearing events) **but**:

Large numbers of ACK reports

NACK



Smaller number of NACK reports (depending on the algorithm used) **but**:

Possible decoding failures

(Statistical knowledge of overhearing events)

General WNC System model:

- Broadcast rates dictate reception.
- Probabilities of overhearing
- Control descision: pick an inter-flow XOR control and a rate.
- Relay queues hold packets to be sent.
- Receiver buffers hold packets that help decoding (including undecoded relay transmissions).





System with arrivals

System snapshot, whose evacuation time is a system epoch.

Throughput Region via Evacuation Times:

Let $\overline{T}^{\star}(k)$ be the minimum average evacuation time of a system **snapshot** over all policies. Then it holds that the throughput region of the system is the set of rates that satisfies:

 $\hat{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \leq 1.$

where:

$$\hat{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\overline{T}^{\star}(\lceil t \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rceil)}{t}.$$

and can be achieved by using the optimal evacuation policy at each epoch

L. Georgiadis, G. S. Paschos, L. Tassiulas, and L. Libman, "Stability and Capacity through Evacuation Times," in Information TheoryWorkshop, (ITW), Sep. 2012.

Contribution:

- 2-user ACK model: Throughput of our policy = Throughput region
- 2-user NACK model: Throughput of our policy

Throughput region of XOR policies

Throughput region under equal rates

2-user ACK solution:

- Perfect overhearing information
- 2 queues for each flow, one for good(overheard) and one for bad packets.



Initial system

2-user ACK solution:



Initial system

After controls g1 + g2 and b1 are used with r = 2

2-user ACK solution:

Proposed Evacuation Policy:

- Transmit g1+g2 until one type is not available (empty queue)
- Then use {g1,g2,b1,b2}
- Evacuation time:

$$T^{bdet}(k_1, k_2) \triangleq \frac{k_1}{r_1} + \frac{k_2}{r_2} - \frac{\mathbb{E}[\min\{N_1, N_2\}]}{\max\{r_1, r_2\}}$$

- Ni, i = 1,2 is the number of overheard packets of source i from receiver $j \neq i$.
- Throughput region:

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{r_1} + \frac{\lambda_2}{r_2} - \frac{\min\{p_{12}\lambda_1, p_{12}\lambda_2\}}{\max\{r_1, r_2\}} \le 1.$$

Can be proven to be optimal over arbitrary policies.

2-user NACK solution:

- Imperfect overhearing information
- 3 queues for each flow, one for good(overheard), one for bad and one for unknown packets (i.e no feedback for them yet.)
- Relay knows the overhearing probabilities.



2-user NACK solution:



After controls u1+u2 are used with r = 2

2-user NACK solution:

Proposed Evacuation Policy:

- If $1 p_f > \frac{\min(r_1, r_2)}{\max(r_1, r_2)}$ send all packets singleton.
- Else:
 - 1) g1+g2
 - 2) u1+g2 or g1+u2
 - 3) u1+u2
 - 4) **b1** or **b2** or other singletons
- Code constrained (over any XOR policy) throughput region:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{plugin} \frac{r_1 = r_2 \text{ or } p_f = 1}{p_f}$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{plugin} \frac{r_1 = r_2 \text{ or } p_f = 1}{p_f \text{ or } p_f = 1}$$
And get identical throughput region with the previous case!
$$\sum_{l=1}^{plugin} \frac{1}{r_f} - \frac{1 - p_f}{r_s} + \frac{1}{r_s} \leq 1,$$

A related problem: Index Coding

- N receivers and a relay
- Relay disseminates information to receivers with broadcasting.
- Packets destined to receiver i are known by receiver j≠i
- Broadcast channel (1 packet/slot)
- Maximize throughput



Index Coding:

 Optimal Evacuation of a WNC snapshot can be reduced to an instance of Index Coding assuming the transmission rates are units and we have ACK feedback!



Contribution:

- Index Coding:
 - We offer a problem decomposition
 - We can achieve minimum evacuation time with XOR codes and no intra-flow XOR coding
- Multi-user ACK model:
 - We offer a Heuristic

Index Coding (previous results): decoding buffers input queues overhearing hyperedge 5 321 3 user 1 2 **E** 5 Abstracts to: R 54 user 2 6 3 4 **Initial Problem** user 3 Side Information Graph Is fitted by: rec. 1 rec. 2 rec. 3 0 0 0 0 0 * Whose 0 0 * minimum rank 0 achieves: 0 * $\min len(C) = \min k_2(G)$ 1 0 0 0 0 $C \in I_G^L$ * 1 0 0 0 0 *

For this example, minimum rank = 5

Matrices that fit the SIG



Index Coding (our results):

 The minimum rank of any SIG is the sum of the minimum ranks of its strongly connected components.



a b d d c h h

Minimum rank of this graph...

... is the minimum ranks of these graphs!

Index Coding (our results):

• There is no loss of optimality if we constrain our coding options to omit intra-flow coding.



Problem

Solution with no intra-flow coding

Multi-user ACK Heuristic

- We use the above result and omit intra-flow coding.
- Transmit codes with the greatest packets/timeslots ratio (solutions derived from minimum rank).
- If there are ties, code the packets that are least overheard.
- All other ties are solved arbitrarily
- We expand on multiple rates by demanding that a control is chosen only when the corresponding queues have at least the number of packets suited for this rate.

Rival Policies (IDNC):

- Immediately Decodable Network Codes
- Choose the greatest available inter-flow code that can be decoded immediately (i.e based only on the original side information)
- Solve ties arbitrarily

Rival Policies (RLNC):

- Random Linear Network Coding
- M packets to send
- N = minimum number of overheard packets over all receivers
- Randomly generate M-N linearly independent codewords and transmit them.
- Randomness increases the probability of linear independence with the number of equations
- All receivers decode all packets

Rival Policies:

- Let $r_1 = r_2 = 1$
- How will Heuristic fare vs RLNC and IDNC with this system?
- Controls 1+3, 3+4 are not ID !
- RLNC sends 4
 LCs !



Simulation Results (2-user):



Figure 8: Average delay performance for a system with 2 receivers, in two chosen directions $\lambda = (\lambda, \lambda)$ (left) and $\lambda = (\lambda, .8\lambda)$ (right). The overhearing probabilities are: $p_1 = .9$, $p_2 = .7$. For both cases, the rates are $r_1 = 2$, $r_2 = 1$



Figure 9: Average delay performance for a system with 2 receivers, in two chosen directions $\lambda = (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$, $r_1 = 2$, $r_2 = 2$, $r_3 = 2$ (left) and $\lambda = (\lambda, .8\lambda, .6\lambda)$, $r_1 = 4$, $r_2 = 3$, $r_3 = 2$ (right). The overhearing probabilities are: $p_{12} = p_{23} = p_{31} = .8$, $p_{13} = p_{21} = p_{32} = .5$.

Future Avenues:

- Expand 2-user NACK and ACK cases for arbitrary number of users.
- Possibly with the help of Index Coding.

Questions?